

Feedback from Discussion Groups (as recorded on flip chart sheets)

Transport: Group 1

- Horses – stables (North Hayling)
- Funding
- Monorail
- Amphibious vehicles
- Why – road over capacity
- Ban right turns but provision made somewhere
- Yellow box junctions
- Controlled crossings
- Reduction in road friction
- One pavement for cyclists, other side for pedestrians
- Predictable travel time
- Visible time signs

Transport: Group 2

- Caravan breakdown
- Langstone layby – shorten 'island'
- Sign on bridge for cyclists > can't do that
- Dual carriageway south on Langstone Road > contrary to planning application
- Main problem: development on 'doorstep' will add exponentially to already heavily/over used A3023
- No right turn in to West Lane
- HCC will be making survey – beyond summer
- HCC bluetooth journey time
- When considering A3023 traffic, estimate must be made of traffic over the planned period (to 2036) including all likely development (i.e. windfall)
- Horses/runners (West Lane)
- Surface water (West Lane)
- Pedestrian safety – controlled crossing needed at Ship Inn (too long distance path route)

Flooding: Group 3

- Sites that are not in flood zone – are needed for mitigation in the future
- Maintenance of flood measures must be financed
- Maintenance/management of SUDs features
- Shifting sands in substrata cracking SW pipes
- Malaria – SUDs (marshes may increase mosquitos)
- Landowners/farmers obligation to maintain their defences

- Disconnect(?) between responsibilities for flood issues – EA, HCC, HBC – clear advice!
- Protection of Budds Farm – critical infrastructure
- Billy line remnant spits eroding
- Cumulative impact of windfall
- Co-ordination of utility proposals – SW, EA, NE
- Remember the 2/3 hour event